

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/085,349 11/16/2001		001	Victor Gura	30504-2	5267
7590 07/26/2004				EXAMINER	
Steven R. Gre	enfield	DEAK, LESLIE R			
JENKENS & G		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Suite 3200			3762		
Dallas, TX 75202-2799				DATE MAILED: 07/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/085,349	GURA, VICTOR			
į	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Leslie R. Deak	3762			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 13 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	amendment which places the application in fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check eit	her a) or b)]
 a)	rom the mailing date of the final rejection.
706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the peti have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corres 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period fo (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under r reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to	filed within the period set forth in avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration	n and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form f issues for appeal; and/or	or appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a correspond	nding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable i canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	f submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsiderati application in condition for allowance because:	on has been considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not or raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	directed SOLELY to issues which were newly
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will no explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected	ot be entered or b)□ will be entered and an d is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-33</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b)	disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-144	49) Paper No(s) ´
10. Other:	Congel. D. Affer
M 20 July or	ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700
Paper No. 20040720

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/085,349

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Arguments regarding the wearability of the device will be addressed in an RCE. Examiner maintains that any device is capable of being worn by a patient. Amendment to claim 2 introduces a new claim limitation and requires further consideration.

A 30 July 04